

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/726,423	Applicant(s) SHIBATA, KOICHI	
	Examiner Taghi T. Arani	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(2) James A. LaBarre.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 101 issue regarding the phrase "A computer program product" in the preamble of claim 15 was raised. the Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim by an Examiner's Amendment for a tangible embodiment of the recited computer program product.